UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,898	05/13/2005	Su Jian	41941.8005.US02	2021	
34055 PERKINS COII	7590 09/22/2008 E LLP	S	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE			LOWE, MICHAEL S		
SEATTLE, WA	A 98111-1208		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		А	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/534,898		JIAN, SU				
			xaminer		Art Unit				
		M	Michael Scott Lo	owe	3652				
<i>Ti</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	nication appea	rs on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE N s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr of for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CO a). In no event, how apply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION rever, may a reply be times SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>15 July</i>	2008						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 July 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
<i>′</i> =		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	im(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
·	im(s) is/are objected to.								
•	nim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or el	lection require	ement.					
Application	Papers								
9)□ The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
•	drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 20</u>		Ⅺ accepted o	r b)□ obiected to	by the Examine	r.			
•	plicant may not request that any obje				-				
				-		FR 1.121(d).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice of 3) Informatic	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/15/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merkle (US 4,381,899).

Re claim 1, Merkle teaches a vertical (generally figures 1,3,4) lifting device for cargo transportation comprising hydraulic lifting assemblies (generally 30), a fixture (generally 28), a relatively thin and generally rectangular (generally see figure 2) lifting platform (generally 45) having enforcement beams (generally 74) located at each of a first pair of opposed sides, wherein the lifting platform is connected to the fixture via the hydraulic lifting assemblies, one end of each of the hydraulic lifting assemblies is secured to the fixture, and the other end of each of the hydraulic lifting assemblies is

Art Unit: 3652

secured to the corresponding enforcement beam, and the connection points of each hydraulic lifting assembly to the lifting platform are located on the respective one of the first pair of opposed sides.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed 7/15/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Merkle does not have an enforcement beam and that a pivot connection cannot be an enforcement beam since applicant argued that pivots cannot provide stability for load bearing purposes. This is not true as stated by applicant and the rejection Merkle does have an enforcement beam(s) (generally 74, figure 2) which does provide stability for load bearing purposes as it allows the platform to be lifted and lowered. It is also noted that Merkle's enforcement beam includes not only the pivot but also pivot attachment beams and applicant's drawings clearly show that the inventor's enforcement beams are also pivotally attached in essentially the same fashion.

Applicant argued that his/her invention has a relatively thin lifting platform. However, Merkle's lifting platform is also relatively thin.

Applicant argued that the location of the connection points is different. However, as clearly shown in the figures Merkle is connected at opposite sides which is also between the front and rear of the platform, this again is the same fashion applicant's device is connected and meets the claim limitations.

Page 4

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the device function) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Scott Lowe whose telephone number is (571)272-6929. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571)272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,898 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

/Michael Scott Lowe/ Examiner, Art Unit 3652